Van W. Northern NORTHERN LAW FIRM 112 W 8th Ave, Suite 400 Amarillo, Texas 79101 SBN: 15101100 (806) 374-2266, (806) 374-9535 FAX ATTORNEY FOR RALPH LYLE CRUM

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS AMARILLO DIVISION

IN RE:	§ Case No. 08-20297-RLJ-	7
	§	
RALPH LYLE CRUM,	§ Chapter 07	
Debtor:	§	
	§	

APPELLANT'S STATEMENT OF THE ISSUES ON APPEAL

Appellant, Ralph Lyle Crum, files his Statement of the Issues on Appeal below.

Debtor contends that the primary issues of appeal are:

- 1. Whether the trial court's application of *In re Zibman*, 268 F.3d 298 (5th Cir. 2001) has any application to Debtor's claim under the "snap shot rule" that \$254,902.04 of the Debtor's funds which were withdrawn from a qualified, IRA (hereafter "IRA funds") less than 60 days prior to filing bankruptcy, are exempt from claims against the estate under 11 U.S.C. Section 522(d)(12), and other statutes and common law relied upon by Debtor.
- 2. Whether Debtor was forced to an election of exemptions to protect the IRA funds under 11 U.S.C. Section 522b(2) and (3), and/or Section 522(d) as decided by the trial court.
- 3. Whether the 60 day "tolling rule" which applies to the reinvestment of IRA funds in order to maintain their exempt status, after withdrawal from a qualified IRA, must be strictly applied, where as here, (i) the Trustee objected to Debtor's exemption of the IRA funds, claiming same as

Case 08-20297-rlj7 Doc 81 Filed 05/07/09 Entered 05/07/09 17:16:40 Desc Main Document Page 2 of 3

an asset of the estate, and thereby effectively prohibited Debtor's reinvestment of the funds within

the necessary 60 day period; (ii) the fund manager misrepresented the IRA status of the funds to

Debtor, after Debtor attempted to reinvest the withdrawn funds less than 60 days prior to bankruptcy.

4. Whether all statutes and case law claimed by Debtor as a basis to exempt the withdrawn

IRA funds were properly overruled by the trial court.

5. Whether the trial court's broad denial of each and every statutory and common law

basis asserted by Debtor that the IRA funds were exempt, without providing any factual or legal

basis therefore, is reversible error

6. Whether the Trustee's or Creditor Randi Crum's failure to object to each statutory and

common law basis asserted by Debtor that the IRA funds were exempt, constitutes a waiver, and

therefore the IRA funds are exempt as a matter of law; and despite the Trustee's and Creditor Randi

Crum's objections to exemptions on other grounds, the trial court should have sustained Debtor's

exemptions, thereby resulting in reversible error.

7. Whether the trial court's conclusion that the IRA funds are not exempt, and are

property of the bankruptcy estate is reversible error.

8 Whether it was inequitable for the trial court to disallow his exemption claim to the

IRA funds under the stipulated facts.

9 Whether the trial court's ruling that Debtor had a right and duty to request tolling of

the 60 day deadline to roll over the IRA funds, after Debtor filed bankruptcy and the Trustee claimed

the funds for the estate is reversible error

Whether the trial court's ruling that the \$11,000.00 of Fidelity IRA funds paid to a

third party, Trudy-Herrmann-Hall prior to bankruptcy, lost their exempt status is erroneous as a

matter of law; or in the alternative, does the trial court's ruling that such funds "are not property of the estate" effectively prevent seizure of those funds by the Trustee

Respectfully submitted,

NORTHERN LAW FIRM

112 W 8th Ave., Suite 400 Amarillo, Texas 79101 Tel (806) 374-2266 Fax. (806) 374-9535

State Bar No 15101100

Attorney for Debtor

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that he has on the date shown below transmitted a true copy of the foregoing document to the following parties in interest by electronic notification via the court's electronic case filing system or by regular mail on the 7th day of May, 2009:

Chapter 7 Trustee Mr Kent Ries, 600 s. Tyler, Suite 1300 Box 12058

Amarillo, Texas 79101

U.S. Trustee

1100 Commerce Street

Room 976

Dallas, TX 75242-1496

Attorney for Randi Crum

Coleman Young

Templeton, Smithee, Hayes, Heinrich, & Russell, LLP

P.O. Box 15010

Amarillo, Texas 79105

Notices

Eddie R Jimenez, Esq. Pite Duncan, LLP

PO Box 12289

El Cajoh, CA 92022-2289

unWiNous

Case No. 08-20297; In re: Ralph L. Crum Appellant's Statement on Issues on Appeal